

CROSS VALIDATIONS STUDIES OF THE STATIC-99

1. Austin, J., Peyton, J., & Johnson, K.D. (2003). Reliability and validity study of the Static-99/RRASOR sex offender risk assessment instruments. Available at www.pccd.state.pa.us/pccd/lib/pccd/stats/pa_soab_final_report.pdf.
2. Barbaree, H.E., Seto, M.C., Langton, C.M. & Peacock, E.J. (2001). Evaluating the predictive accuracy of six risk assessment instruments for adult sex offenders. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 28, 490-521.
3. Bartosh, D.L., Garby, T., Lewis, D., & Gray, S. (2003). Differences in the predictive validity of actuarial risk assessments in relation to sex offender type. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 47(4), 422-438.
4. Beech, A.R. (2005, November). An evaluation of the effectiveness of Static-99 with juveniles. Presentation at the 24th Annual Research and Treatment Conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, Salt Lake City.
5. Beech, A.R., Tudway, J.A., Parish, R.J.H., Print, B., & Thornton, D. (2004). An evaluation of the effectiveness of an actuarial risk assessment tool for people adjudicated as sex offenders as adolescents. Unpublished manuscript, University of Birmingham, UK.
6. Beech, A.R., Fisher, D.D., & Thornton, D. (2003). Risk assessment of sex offenders. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34, 339-352.
7. Beech, A., Friendship, C., Erikson, H., & Hanson R.K. (2002). The relationship between static and dynamic risk factors and reconviction in a sample of U.D. child abusers. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 14, (2), 155-167.
8. Beggs, S. M., & Grace, R. C. (2005, November). Improving sex offender risk assessments: The validity of incorporating measures of treatment outcome. Presentation at the Annual Conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, Salt Lake City, Utah.
9. Bengtson, S., & Langstrom, N. (2007). Unguided clinical and actuarial assessment of re-offending risk: A direct comparison with sex offenders in Denmark. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*. Published online May 2007.
10. Boer, A. (2003). Evaluating the Static-99 and Static-2002 risk scales using Canadian sexual offenders. Unpublished MSc. Thesis, University of Leicester.
11. Brown, J. A. (2004). A comparison of actuarial methods of predicting sexual dangerousness. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Fielding Graduate Institute.
12. Craig, L.A., Beech, A.R., & Browne, K.D. (2006). Evaluating the predictive accuracy of sex offender risk assessment measures on UK samples: A cross-validation of the Risk Matrix 2000 scales. *Sexual Offender Treatment*, 1(1). Retrieved February 20, 2006, from <http://www.sexual-offender-treatment.org/19.98.html>
13. Craissati, J., & Beech, A. (2005). Risk prediction and failure in a complete urban sample of sex offenders. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 16, 24-40.
14. Craissati, J., Webb, L., & Keen, S. (2005). Personality disordered sex offenders. Unpublished report. London, UK: Bracton Centre (Oxleas NHS Trust), London Probation Area and Home Office.
15. de Vogel, V., de Ruiter, C., van Beek, D., & Mead, G. (2004). Predictive validity of the SVR-20 and Static-99 in a Dutch sample of treated sex offenders. *Law and Human Behavior*, 28, 235-251.
16. De Vogel, V., & Mead, G. (2002). Predictive validity of structured and actuarial risk assessment for sexual violence: A retrospective study in a Dutch sample of treated sex offenders. Submitted for publication.

17. Doren, D.M. (2004). Stability of the interpretative risk percentages for the RRASOR and Static-99. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 16(1), 25-36.
18. Ducro, C., & Pham, T. (2006). Evaluation of the SORAG and the Static-99 on Belgian sex offenders committed to a forensic facility. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*. April 2006 online.
19. Epperson, D.L., et al. (other authors to finalized version not yet determined) (2003). Just completed study from North Dakota samples of ex-prisoners (average 8-yr follow-up) and, separately, probationers (average 10.75 year follow-up); Static-99 found supported with both samples. Unpublished data.
20. Friendship, C., Mann, R.E., & Beech, A.R. (2003). Evaluation of a national prison-based treatment program for sexual offenders in England and Wales. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 18, 744-759.
21. Haag, A. M. (2005). Do psychological interventions impact on actuarial measures: An analysis of the predictive validity of the Static-99 and Static-2002 on a re-conviction measure of sexual recidivism. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Department of Applied Psychology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.
22. Hanson, R. K. (2002). [Evaluation of Manitoba's Secondary Risk Assessment]. Unpublished raw data.
23. Hanson, R.K., Harris, A.J.R., & Thomas, T.L. (2006). [Recidivism data for the Dynamic Supervision Project, March 8, 2006]. Unpublished raw data.
24. Hanson, R. K. & Thornton, D. (2000). Improving risk assessment for sex offenders A comparison of three actuarial scales. *Law and Human Behavior*, 24(1), 119-136.
25. Harris, G. T., Rice, M.E., Quinsey, V. L., Lalumiere, M. L. & Boer, D. A., & Lang. C. (2003). A multisite comparison of actuarial risk instruments for sex offenders. *Psychological Assessment*, 15, (3), 113-125.
26. Hills, A. L. (2002). Assessment, treatment, and recidivism of Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal offenders: A comparison of intra-familial and extra-familial male sexual offenders in Saskatchewan. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.
27. Hood, R., Shute, S., Feilzer, M., & Wilcox, A. (2002). Sex offenders emerging from long-term imprisonment: A study of their long-term reconviction rates and of parole board members' judgments of their risk. *British Journal of Criminology*, 42(2), 371-394.
28. Hood, R., Shute, S., Feilzer, M., & Wilcox, A. (2002). Reconviction rates of serious sex offenders and assessments of their risk. Home Office Findings 164. London, UK: Home Office.
29. Hudson, S.A. (2003). The Static-99 and community notification in Tarrant County. Unpublished Master's thesis. Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX.
30. Kropp, P. R. (2000, November). The Risk for Sexual Violence Protocol (RSVP). Paper presented at the annual conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, San Diego, CA.
31. Långström, N. (2004). Accuracy of actuarial procedures for assessment of sexual offender recidivism risk may vary across ethnicity. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 16, 107-120.
32. Langton, C.M. (2003). Contrasting approaches to risk assessment with adult male sexual offenders: An evaluation of recidivism prediction schemes and the utility of supplementary clinical information for enhancing predictive accuracy. Dissertation at the Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto.

33. Looman, J. (2006). Comparison of two risk assessment instruments for sexual offenders. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 18 (2), 193-206.
34. McGrath, R.J., Cummings, G., Livingston, J.A., & Hoke, S.E. The Vermont Treatment Program for sexual aggressors: An evaluation of a prison-based treatment program. Presentation at ATSA, San Diego (2000). Available from ATSA. Contact ATSA – ATSA, 10700 S. W. Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy., Suite 26, Beaverton, Oregon 97005-3035
35. McGrath, R. J., Hoke, S.E., Livingston, J.A., & Cumming, G.F. (2001, November). The Vermont Assessment of Sex-Offender Risk (VASOR): An initial reliability and validity study. Presentation at the 20th Annual Research and Treatment Conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, San Antonio, TX.
36. Milton, J. (2003). Care pathways and outcome of mentally disordered sex offenders referred to high secure psychiatric hospitals. Unpublished M.D. thesis. University of Nottingham.
37. Morton, K. E. (2003). Psychometric properties of four risk assessment measures with male adolescent sexual offenders. Unpublished Master's thesis. Department of Psychology, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
38. Motiuk, L. L. (1995). [Sex offender recidivism information for case load and new releases: March, 1991 to July, 1994]. Unpublished raw data.
39. Motiuk, L. L. & Brown, S. L. (1995). [Survival time for sexual offenders released from the Correctional Services of Canada in 1991 & 1994]. Unpublished raw data.
40. Nicholaichuk, T. (2001, November). The comparison of two standardized risk assessment instruments in a sample of Canadian Aboriginal sexual offenders. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, San Antonio, Texas.
41. Nicholiachek, T., Templeman, Gu, D. Empirically based screening for sex offender risk. Unpublished internal report used in the Corrections Service Canada. 5/1999. Regional Psychiatric Center, Sachtoon. Available from Solicitor General of Canada - Corrections Service. Contact at http://www.sgc.gc.ca/criminal_justice/publications_e.asp
42. Nunes, L.L., Firestone, P., Bradford, J.M., Greenberg, D.M., & Broom, I. (2002). A comparison of modified versions of the Static-99 and the Sex Offender Risk Appraisal Guide (SORAG). *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 14, (3), 253-269.
43. Olver, M.E. (2003). The development and validation of the Violence Risk Scale: Sexual Offender Version (VRS:SO) and its relationship to psychopathy and treatment attrition. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Psychology Department, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan.
44. Poole, D., Liedecke, D., & Marbib, M. (2000). Risk assessment and recidivism in juvenile sexual offenders: A validation study of the Static-99. Available from the Texas Youth Commission. Available at <http://www.tyc.state.tx.us/>
45. Proulx, J. (2004). [Static predictors of recidivism among sex offenders assessed in the Quebec Region of the Correctional Service of Canada between 1995 and 2000]. Unpublished raw data.
46. Quackenbush, R. (2000). The Assessment of Sex Offenders in Ireland and The Irish Sex Offender Risk Tool. Unpublished manuscript.
47. Reddon, J. R., Studer, L., & Estrada, L. (1995). Recidivism data from the Phoenix Program for Sex Offender Treatment. Unpublished raw data.
48. Roberts, C.F., Doren, D.M., & Thornton, D. (2002). Dimensions associated with assessments of sex-offender recidivism risk. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 29(5), 569-589.

49. Saum, S. (2006). A comparison of an actuarial risk prediction measures (Static-99) and a stable dynamic risk prediction measure (Stable-2000) in making risk predictions for a group of sexual offenders. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Fielding Institute, Santa Barbara, CA.
50. Seager, J.A., Jellicoe, D., & Dhaliwal, G.K. (2004). Refusers, dropouts, and completers: Measuring sex offender treatment efficacy. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 48, 600-612.
51. Sjostedt, G., & Langstrom, N. (2001). Actuarial assessment of sex offender recidivism risk: A cross-validation of the RRASOR and the Static-99 in Sweden. *Law and Human Behavior*, 25, (6), 629-645.
52. Smiley, C., McHattie, L., & Hills, A.L.. A comparison of the Static-99 and the PCL-R: Predicting sexual reoffending. Paper presented Am Psychology Law Society Convention. And Canadian Psych Assn, Ottawa 03.2000 Available from the American Psychology-Law Society. Contact at <http://www.unl.edu/ap-ls/>
53. Soothill, K., Harman, J., Francis, B., & Kirby, S. (2005). Identifying future repeat danger from sexual offenders against children: A focus on those convicted and those strongly suspected of such crime. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 16, 225-247.
54. Song, L., & Lieb, R. (1995). Recidivism data concerning Washington State sex offenders: Unpublished raw data. Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy.
55. Stadtland, C., Hollweg, M., Kleindienst, N., Dietl, J., Reich, U., & Nedopil, N. (2005). Risk assessment and prediction of violent and sexual recidivism in sex offenders: Long-term predictive validity of four risk assessment instruments. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 16, 92-108.
56. Taiwanese Study (2006). The recidivism rate among sexual offenders and factors of risk assessment for sexual recidivism.
57. Ternowski, D.R. (2004). Sex offender treatment: An evaluation of the Stave Lake Correctional Centre Program. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C.
58. Thornton, D. (2000). [Recidivism information for 110 sexual offenders released from HM Prison Service during the 1990s]. Unpublished raw data.
59. Thornton, D. (2002). Constructing and testing a framework for dynamic risk assessment. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 14, (2) 139-153.
60. Thornton, D. (2000). Studies of relationship between Static-99 and 2-year sexual reconviction and a separate 3-year reconviction with two previously incarcerated samples. Unpublished. Available from Dr. David Thornton by request through to his Email at david17thornton@vbe.com
61. Tough, S. E. (2001). Validation of two standardized risk assessments (RRASOR, 1997; Static-99, 1999) on a sample of adult males who are developmentally disabled with significant cognitive deficits. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
62. Tough, S. E. & Harris, A. J. R. Developmentally disabled males in community settings: Risk monitoring and actuarial assessment. Presentation ATSA, San Diego (2000). Available from ATSA. Contact ATSA – ATSA, 10700 S. W. Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy., Suite 26, Beaverton, Oregon 97005-3035
63. Wilson, R. J., Picheca, J.E., & Prinzo, M. (2005). Circles of support and accountability: An evaluation of the pilot project in South-Central Ontario. Report 2005 R-168. Ottawa: Correctional Services of Canada.

64. Wilson, R. J., & Prinzo, M. (2001, November). The concurrent validity of actuarial measures of sexual and violent risk in high-risk sexual offenders detained until sentence completion. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers, San Antonio, TX.
65. Wong, K., Flahr, L., Maire, B., Wilde, S., Gu, D., & Wong, S. (June 2000). Inter-rater reliability of the Violence Risk Scale: Sex Offender Version. Presentation at the Canadian Psychological Assoc. Convention, Ottawa. Available through Canadian Psychological Association. Contact at <http://www.cpa.ca/contents.html>

**Dennis Doren, Ph.D. has kindly made significant contributions to the development and updating of this reference list.