

DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION STATIC-99R SAMPLES

Helmus, L. (2009). Re-norming Static-99 recidivism estimates: Exploring base rate variability across sex offender samples. Unpublished master's thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Study	Sample Size	Mean Static-99 Score (SD)	Country	Setting	Type of Sample	Mostly Treated
Allan et al. (2007)	493	2.2 (2.0)	New Zealand	Corrections	Prison treatment	Yes
Bartosh et al. (2003)	186	3.5 (2.4)	U.S.	Corrections	Routine correctional	
Bengtson (2008)	311	3.8 (2.1)	Denmark	Mixed	Preselected risk/psychiatric	
Bigras (2007)	483	2.7 (2.0)	Canada	Corrections	Routine CSC	Mixed
Boer (2003)	299	3.3 (2.3)	Canada	Corrections	Routine CSC	
Bonta & Yessine (2005)	155	5.2 (1.9)	Canada	Corrections	Preselected high risk	Mixed
Brouillette-Alarie & Proulx (2008)	228	3.9 (2.2)	Canada	Corrections	Assessment/treatment sample	
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)	73	2.6 (1.8)	Canada	Corrections	CSC low and moderate risk	Yes
Craissati et al. (2008)	209	2.4 (2.0)	U.K.	Corrections	Routine community	Mixed
Eher et al. (2008)	706	2.7 (2.0)	Austria	Corrections	Routine European prison	
Epperson (2003)	178	2.7 (2.2)	U.S.	Corrections	Routine correctional	
Haag (2005)	198	3.9 (2.0)	Canada	Corrections	Preselected high risk	Mixed
Hanson et al. (2007)	702	2.9 (2.0)	Canada	Corrections	Routine CSC & community	
Harkins & Beech (2007)	198	2.8 (2.2)	U.K.	Corrections	Prison & community treatment	Yes
Hill et al. (2008)	86	4.9 (1.8)	Germany	Mixed	Sexual homicide perpetrators	
Johansen (2007)	273	3.0 (2.0)	U.S.	Corrections	Treatment sample	Yes
Knight & Thornton (2007)	466	4.5 (2.2)	U.S.	Mixed	Preselected high risk	
Långström (2004)	1,278	2.4 (2.0)	Sweden	Corrections	Routine European prison	No
Nicholaichuk (2001)	281	4.6 (2.0)	Canada	Mental Health	High intensity treatment	Yes
Saum (2007)	175	2.0 (1.6)	U.S.	Corrections	Community	Yes
Swinburne Romine et al. (2008)	681	1.9 (2.1)	U.S.	Corrections	Community treatment	Mixed
Ternowski (2004)	247	2.1 (1.9)	Canada	Corrections	Treatment	Yes
Wilson et al. (2007a & b)	233	5.5 (2.0)	Canada	Corrections	Preselected high risk	

Study	Sample Size	Recidivism Criteria	Age at Release Mean (SD)	Release Period	Median Release Year	Inter-Rater Reliability Statistic	Inter-Rater Reliability
Allan et al. (2007)	493	Charges	42 (12)	1990-2000	1994		
Bartosh et al. (2003)	186	Charges	38 (12)	1996	1996	<i>r</i>	.90
Bengtson (2008)	311	Charges	33 (10)	1978-1995	1986	ICC	.94
Bigras (2007)	483	Charges	43 (12)	1995-2004	1999		
Boer (2003)	299	Conviction	41 (13)	1976-1994	1990		
Bonta & Yessine (2005)	155	Conviction	39 (9)	1992-2004	1998		
Brouillette-Alarie & Proulx (2008)	228	Conviction	36 (10)	1979-2006	1996		
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)	73	Charges	42 (12)	2001-2004	2003		
Craissati et al. (2008)	209	Conviction	38 (12)	1992-2005	1998		
Eher et al. (2008)	706	Conviction	41 (12)	2000-2005	2003	ICC	.90
Epperson (2003)	178	Charges	37 (13)	1989-1998	1995		
Haag (2005)	198	Conviction	37 (10)	1995	1995	<i>r</i>	.92
Hanson et al. (2007)	702	Charges	42 (13)	2001-2005	2002	ICC	.91
Harkins & Beech (2007)	198	Convictions	43 (12)	1994-1998	1995		
Hill et al. (2008)	86	Conviction	39 (11)	1971-2002	1989	ICC	.84
Johansen (2007)	273	Charges	38 (11)	1994-2000	1996		
Knight & Thornton (2007)	466	Charges	36 (11)	1957-1986	1970	<i>r</i>	.86
Långström (2004)	1,278	Conviction	41 (12)	1993-1997	1995		
Nicholaichuk (2001)	281	Conviction	35 (9)	1983-1998	1992		
Saum (2007)	175	Charges	46 (12)			ICC	.95
Swinburne Romine et al. (2008)	681	Conviction	38 (12)	1977-2007	1988		
Ternowski (2004)	247	Charges	44 (13)	1994-1998	1996		
Wilson et al. (2007a & b)	233	Charges	42 (11)	1994 -2007	2002		
Overall	8,139		40 (12)	1957-2007	1996		

	Treatment Status	Started Treatment	Completed Treatment	Offender Type	Canadian Federal vs. Provincial	Aboriginal	NonWhite	NonWhite (excluding Aboriginal)
Allan et al. (2007)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Bigras (2007)				Yes	Yes			
Boer (2003)				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bonta & Yessine (2005)		Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Brouillette-Alarie & Proulx (2008)				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)		Yes			Yes			
Craissati et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Eher et al. (2008)				Yes				
Haag (2005)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hanson et al. (2007)				Yes	Yes	Yes		
Harkins & Beech (2007)		Yes						
Hill et al. (2008)						Yes	Yes	Yes
Johansen (2007)		Yes						
Knight & Thornton (2007)		Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes
Nicholaichuk (2001)		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Saum (2007)		Yes	Yes	Yes				
Swinburne Romine et al. (2008)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Ternowski (2004)	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes			
Wilson et al. (2007a & b)		Yes		Yes	Yes			

Information was missing for: Bartosh et al., 2003; Bengtson, 2008; Craig et al., 2006; Endrass et al., 2009; Epperson, 2003; Långström, 2004; Milton, 2003.

RECIDIVISM INFORMATION STATIC-99R SAMPLES

Study	Mean Static-99 Score (<i>SD</i>)	Mean Follow-Up in Years (<i>SD</i>)	Overall Sample Size	Cases available at 5 years	Cases available at 10 years	Sexual Recidivism (%)		
						Overall	5 year	10 year
Allan et al. (2007)	2.2 (2.0)	5.8 (2.9)	493	299	25	9.5	11.7	20.0
Bartosh et al. (2003)	3.5 (2.4)	5.0 (0.2)	186	90		11.8	13.3	
Bengtson (2008)	3.8 (2.1)	16.2 (4.2)	311	310	291	33.8	19.7	28.5
Bigras (2007)	2.7 (2.0)	4.6 (1.9)	483	207		6.2	9.2	
Boer (2003)	3.3 (2.3)	13.3 (2.1)	299	299	295	8.7	3.7	7.8
Bonta & Yessine (2005)	5.2 (1.9)	5.7 (2.3)	155	101	3	17.4	18.8	0.0
Brouillette-Alarie & Proulx (2008)	3.9 (2.2)	9.9 (4.5)	228	199	110	20.2	14.6	20.9
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)	2.6 (1.8)	4.6 (0.6)	73	17		0.0	0.0	
Craissati et al. (2008)	2.4 (2.0)	9.1 (2.7)	209	200	66	11.5	7.5	9.1
Eher et al. (2008)	2.7 (2.0)	3.9 (1.1)	706	151		4.0	2.0	
Epperson (2003)	2.7 (2.2)	7.9 (2.4)	178	151	36	14.0	10.6	22.2
Haag (2005)	3.9 (2.0)	7.0 (0.0)	198	198		25.3	19.7	
Hanson et al. (2007)	2.9 (2.0)	3.4 (1.0)	702	31		8.1	0.0	
Harkins & Beech (2007)	2.8 (2.2)	10.4 (1.1)	198	198	129	14.1	9.6	16.3
Hill et al. (2008)	4.9 (1.8)	12.6 (6.6)	86	73	54	15.1	11.0	18.5
Johansen (2007)	3.0 (2.0)	9.1 (1.1)	273	272	62	7.7	5.9	12.9
Knight & Thornton (2007)	4.5 (2.2)	8.6 (2.6)	466	433	353	26.2	24.7	30.0
Långström (2004)	2.4 (2.0)	8.9 (1.4)	1,278	1,278	353	7.5	5.4	7.4
Nicholaichuk (2001)	4.6 (2.0)	6.4 (4.0)	281	168	59	18.5	22.6	25.4
Saum (2007)	2.0 (1.6)	5.0 (0.0)	175	175		35.4	31.4	
Swinburne Romine et al. (2008)	1.9 (2.1)	16.8 (7.8)	681	570	543	13.8	8.4	11.2
Ternowski (2004)	2.1 (1.9)	7.5 (1.0)	247	247		8.1	6.5	
Wilson et al. (2007a & b)	5.5 (2.0)	5.2 (3.0)	233	104	16	10.3	11.5	6.3
Overall	3.0 (2.0)	8.2 (2.4)	8,139	5,771	2,395	12.5	11.2	16.5